Case 2:12 sv-02161-PD Document 1 Filed 04/22/13 Page 1/of 41/6/

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information of tailed herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Daniel Amil Torres				DEFENDANTS THE CITY OF BUILDING			
1018 W Russel St Philadelphia, Pa 19140				THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch St 14th Flr , Philadelphia PA 19102			
~ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /				John Doe 1-4			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia				County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Philadelphia /	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
	\mathcal{X}			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Michael E Stosic	,,			Philadelphia Law Department			
124 Chestnut St				1515 Arch St -14th			
Philadelphia, Pa 19106	Phone: 215-913-530			Philadelphia, Pa 1	9102		
II. BASIS OF JURISDA	CNON (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEK	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
			Citize	n of This State	Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4	
					of Business In	This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item		hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
				n or Subject of a ☐ eign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS PERSONAL INJURY		FEITURE/PENALTY Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	10 023	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690	Other	28 USC 157	1 410 Antitrust	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury	- 1		☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			D 640 Hauchiark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	CV (3.710	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud	Y 10 710	Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	1 720	Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	740	Relations Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		Family and Medical	2 003 RSI (403(g))	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation		Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY	\ CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	899 Administrative Procedure	
210 Land Condemnation	40 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	-		or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
240 Torts to Land	43 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	State Statutes	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General☐ 535 Death Penalty	-	IMMIGRATION			
5 270 Kil Other Real Froperty	Employment	Other:	□ 462	Naturalization Application			
	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other	 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 	□ 465	Other Immigration			
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions			
\		☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				•	
· \		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)						
🕱 1 Ofriginal 🗇 2 Rem	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins	ened Anothe	r District Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing (De	(specify) not cite jurisdictional state			
YA. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 42 usc 1983 / sta						
	excessive force /					^ \	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DE	MAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE					JOHN BEIMAND	/ 24/100 13/10	
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 04/20/2013		SIGNATURE OF ATTO Michael E Stosie		RECORD,	\prec		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						ADD 6.0 0042	
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE APR 22 2013	

Case 2:13-cv-02161-PD Document 1 Filed 04/22/13 Per 2 of 11 1 6 1

FOR THE EASTERN DISTLICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calcular.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of								
Address of Plaintiff: 1018 W. Russel St. Philadel	alia PA larun								
Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch St-14th Flor Philadelphia, PA 19102									
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1018 W. Russel St. (Use Reverse Side For A	. Philadelphia TH 1910x								
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?								
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No.								
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 【								
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:									
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:								
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:									
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ar previously terminated action in this court?								
	Ves□ Na b								
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su action in this court?	it pending or within one year previously terminated								
3 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a natural electric in the second of a natural	Yes□ No ⊠								
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier meterminated action in this court?	ambered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No □								
	,								
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights									
	Yes□ N o Ž								
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)									
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:								
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts								
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury								
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation								
4. □ Antitrust 5. □ Patent	4. □ Marine Personal Injury								
6. Layor-Management Relations	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury								
7 Civil Rights	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)								
8. □ Habeas Corpus	7. Products Liability								
9 Securities Act(s) Cases	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos9. □ All other Diversity Cases								
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)								
11. All other Federal Question Cases	(Flease specify)								
(Please specify)									
ARBITRATION CERTIF (Check Appropriate Cate, counsel of record do hereby certify:	rgory)								
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	_								
Michael Carry	Q17/3								
DATE: Attorney-at-Law	$\frac{1000}{1000}$								
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.								
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or with									
except as noted above.	tain one year previously terminated action in this court								
DATE: 4/22/13 Michael Stose	40763								
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#								

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Daniel Amil-Torres

City of Philodelphia

John Doc 1-4

CIVIL ACTION

13 2161

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS.

	The state of the s		
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	(,
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
(f)	Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	5	×
_			_

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

Stosic_mostosiclaw.com

(Civ. 660) 10/02



Michael E. Stasic, Esq. 124 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, Pa 19106

Phone/Fax: 215-913-5300/267-299-6282

DANIEL AMIL TORRES 1018 W RUSSELL ST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19140

V

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA C/O CITY OF PHILADELPHIA LAW DEPARTMENT 1515 ARCH STREET, 14TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

AND

JOHN DOE CURRENTLY UNAMED AND UNKNOWN CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE OFFICERS

And

JOHN DOE CURRENTLY UNAMED AND UNKNOWN CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE OFFICERS

And

JOHN DOE CURRENTLY UNAMED AND UNKNOWN CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE OFFICERS

And

JOHN DOE CURRENTLY UNAMED AND UNKNOWN CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE OFFICERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

Daniel Torres brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 after being beaten and stomped by Philadelphia Police Officers while handcuffed. The beating caused severe welts, lacerations, a fractured wrist and separated shoulder along with other injuries.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff, DANIEL AMIL TORRES, is an individual with an address at 1018 W
 RUSSELL ST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19140.
- 2. Defendant, the city of Philadelphia is a municipality of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and owns, operates, manages, directs and controls the Philadelphia Police Department which employs the currently unnamed and unknown City of Philadelphia Police officers referred to in the caption above.
- 3. John Doe's collectively are currently unnamed and unknown city of Philadelphia Police officers and employees of the City of Philadelphia, acting under the color of state law, and are being sued in their official and individual capacities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. All prior paragraphs are adopted by reference and realleged as though fully set forth.
- 5. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Jurisdiction is based on 28 u.s.c. § 1331 and 1343 (1), (3) (4) and the aforementioned statutory provision.
- 6. Plaintiff further invokes the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court to hear and adjudicate state law claims pursuant to 28 u.s.c. s 1367 (a)
- 7. Venue in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is proper pursuant to the parties residing and the incident occurring in the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

42 U.S.C. 1983

- 8. All prior paragraphs are adopted by reference and realleged as though fully set forth.
- 9. On or around, July 7, 2012, in or around 11:00 pm, Daniel was standing in front of 1018 W RUSSELL ST, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19140. (herein after "property")
- 10. At that time, one marked police vehicle pulled up in front of the property and two police officers exited the car. The cops walked towards the property when Daniel Torres told the officers that they needed a search warrant to enter the property.
- 11. The police officer responded that, he will do whatever he wanted. The police ignored the statement of Daniel Torres.
- 12. At this point, the officers turned around with one pulling out a gun and the other a taser. It is averred that they must have called for back up because soon thereafter at least 5-10 officers arrived and an officer unknown to Daniel came up from behind and starting choking him while another officer grabbed him and threw Daniel to the ground.
- 13. The officers handcuffed behind his back him while he was subdued and one stated to Deaniel, "you wanna be a tough guy" and then proceeded to start striking him on the right side of his ribs.
- 14. The officer kept yelling at Daniel to apologize for questioning their right to enter the property without a warrant. The officers then struck Daniel repeatedly on the back. Daniel and others watching were asking the officer stop beating Daniel but the officer refused to stop beating Daniel and the other officers just watched and did not intervene. The beating caused bruising and lacerations to the back of Daniel.

Case 2:13-cv-02161-PD Document 1 Filed 04/22/13 Page 7 of 11

- 15. After the beating, the Officer kept repeating the same concepts about Daniel telling the officer to not enter his home without a warrant and whether Daniel thought he was tough.
- 16. The officer, while Daniel was in handcuffs and after beating him repeatedly on the back, in a rage started stomping on Daniels handcuffed wrists.
- 17. This action caused a fracture to the wrist of Daniel Torres and a separated shoulder.
- 18. At all times relevant, the other unknown officers witnessed the incident and did not intervene to stop the officer and, in fact, actively participated in the incident by grabbing cell phones so the incident was not recorded
- 19. There were parties filming with their cell phones and a camera was installed in the front of the property. The officers entered the property and removed the SD card from the camera so the incident was not recorded.
- 20. Daniel was arrested and the police refused to take Daniel to the Hospital that evening despite the obvious wounds from the beating and stomping.
- 21. In fact, the cops found humor in the fact that they knew Daniel would be permanently hurt.
- 22. The injuries were the proximate result of the actions of the Defendants.
- 23. Daniel has suffered injuries that are severe and permanent.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – THE MUNCIPALITY OF PHILADELPHIA (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 24. All prior paragraphs are adopted by reference and realleged as though fully set forth.
- 25. The City of Philadelphia through it employees, agents and/or any other party failed in it's duties when if failed to properly train, monitor and/or otherwise manage it's employees on:
 - a. The use of unreasonable force, including potentially deadly force, excessive force and unlawful seizure by police;
 - b. The proper exercise of police powers, including but not limited to the unreasonable use of force, the excessive use of force and unlawful seizure;
 - c. Their status as police officers to selectively provide false and misleading evidence to the Philadelphia District Attorney' s office and the court to attempt to ensure convictions and/or false imprisonment pending preliminary hearings and/or trials, against persons whom they selectively desire to be punished. unlawful arrest, or to achieve ends not reasonably related to their police duties; and The failure of police officers to follow established policies, procedures, directives and instructions regarding how to properly investigate crimes.
- 26. The monitoring of police officers whom it knew or should have known were suffering from emotional and/or psychological problems which impaired their ability to function as officers.
- 27. The failure to identify and take remedial or disciplinary action against police officers who were subject of prior civilian or internal complaints of misconduct;

- 28. The City of Philadelphia failed to properly sanction or discipline officers and/or aid and abet violations of constitutional rights of citizens by other Philadelphia Police officers, thereby causing and encouraging Philadelphia police, including the defendant officers in this case, to violate the rights of citizens such as Plaintiff.
- 29. The City of Philadelphia, as alleged in the Factual Allegations, violated the rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including but not limited those secured by the Fourth amendment to the Constitution when the officers used this potential deadly force and excessive force on Daniel Ramos.
- 30. The Defendants have by the above described actions were the proximate cause of Daniel's injuries and deprived Plaintiff of rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 31. Daniel has suffered horrible injuries that are serious and permanent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages and or other available damages;
- b. Reasonable Attorney's Fees and costs:
- d. such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just;
- e. A jury trial as to each Defendant as to each count.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL JOHN DOE OFFICERS IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY BOTH JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY TO EACH JOHN DOE OFFICER

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates all prior paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. John Doe Defendant s used unlawful force against Daniel to effect an unlawful arrest

- and/or did not intervene while he was being beaten and/or actively participated by taking and smashing cell phones that were recording the incident.
- 34. Even if the arrest would have been lawful, the forced used by the unknown John Doe officers to effect the arrest was excessive and therefore unlawful.
- 35. The officers used excessive and unlawful force against Daniel after he was handcuffed and sitting on the curb.
- 36. The officers showed clear evil intent or motive and/or "reckless or callous indifference" when they beat Daniel on his back causing severe welts and bruising after he was handcuffed and sitting on the ground.
- 37. The officers clear evil intent or motive and/or "reckless or callous indifference" was further established when, after handcuffed and beaten, they stomped on Daniel causing a fractured wrist and separated shoulder.
- 38. The officers clear evil intent or motive and/or "reckless or callous indifference" is without dispute when, after having time to contemplate their actions, and, Daniel sitting in a jail cell suffering, they still refused to take Daniel to the hospital and took pleasure in the fact that he was horribly injured.
- 39. The Unknown Officers, as alleged in the Factual Allegations, violated the rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including but not limited those secured by the Fourth amendment to the Constitution when they used this potential deadly force and excessive force on Daniel Ramos.
- 40. The Defendants have by the above described actions were the proximate cause of Daniel's injuries and deprived Plaintiff of rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

41. Daniel has suffered horrible injuries which are serious and permanent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages;
- c. Reasonable Attorney's fees and costs;
- d. such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just;
- e. A jury trial as to each Defendant and as to each count.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION SUPPLEMENTAL STATE CLAIMS

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates all prior paragraphs as though fully set forthherein.
- 43. The acts and conduct of the City of Philadelphia Defendants, including its' Police officers, in this cause of action constitute assault, battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and malicious prosecution under the laws of the commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and this court has supplement al jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate these claims.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages;
- c. Reasonable Attorney's fees and costs;
- d. such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just;
- e. A jury tri al as to each Defendant and as to each count.

Respectfully.

Michael E. Stosic, Esq. 124 Chestnut Street

Philadelphia, Pa 19106